CMPT 409/981: Optimization for Machine Learning

Lecture 10

Sharan Vaswani

October 8, 2024

Recap

- For minimizing $f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)$, the SGD update is $w_{k+1} = w_k \eta_k \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$, where $i_k \in [n]$.
- SGD does not require computing the gradient of all the points in the dataset, and results in cheaper iterations compared to GD.
- Compared to GD, the rate of convergence (in terms of the number of required iterations) is slower.
- To counter the noise in the stochastic gradients, the step-size η_k needs to be decayed to ensure convergence to the minimizer.
- Two key properties we used to analyze SGD: For all w,

Unbiasedness:
$$\mathbb{E}_i[\nabla f_i(w)] = \nabla f(w)$$
; **Bounded Variance**: $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w) - \nabla f(w)\|^2 \leq \sigma^2$.

• For minimizing *L*-smooth, but potentially non-convex functions, *T* iterations of SGD with $\eta_k = \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}$ result in the following suboptimality for the "best" iterate \hat{w} ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\hat{w})\|^2] \leq \frac{2L[f(x_0) - f^*]}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2(1 + \log(T))}{\sqrt{T}}$$

1

Claim: For *L*-smooth, convex functions with bounded noise σ^2 , T iterations of stochastic gradient descent with $\eta_k = \frac{1}{2L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}$ returns an iterate $\bar{w}_T = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} w_k}{T}$ such that,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_{T}) - f(w^{*})] \leq \frac{2L \|w_{0} - w^{*}\|^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}(1 + \log(T))}{2L\sqrt{T}}$$

Proof: Using the SGD update, $w_{k+1} = w_k - \eta_k \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$,

$$||w_{k+1} - w^*||^2 = ||w_k - \eta_k \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) - w^*||^2$$

= $||w_k - w^*||^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 ||\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)||^2$

Taking expectation w.r.t i_k on both sides, and assuming η_k is independent of i_k

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2] = \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\mathbb{E}\left[\eta_k \langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\eta_k^2 \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|^2\right]$$

$$= \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \mathbb{E}\left[\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\right], w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|^2\right]$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2] = \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|^2\right]$$
(Unbiasedness)

Recall that
$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2] = \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|^2\right].$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2]$$

$$= \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k) - \nabla f(w_k) + \nabla f(w_k)\|^2\right]$$

$$= \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k) - \nabla f(w_k)\|^2\right] + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2\right]$$
(Since $\mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(w_k), \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) - \nabla f(w_k)\rangle] = 0$)
$$\leq \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2\right] + \eta_k^2 \sigma^2$$
(Using the bounded variance assumption)

Using convexity of
$$f$$
, $f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle$ with $y = w^*$ and $x = w_k$,

Recall $\mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2] \le \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k [f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + 2L \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + \eta_k^2 \sigma^2$. Using $\eta_k < \frac{1}{2L}$ for all k,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2] \le \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta_k [f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + \eta_k \, \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + \eta_k^2 \, \sigma^2$$

$$= \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - \eta_k [f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + \eta_k^2 \, \sigma^2$$

$$\implies \eta_k [f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \left[\|w_k - w^*\|^2 - \mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \right] + \eta_k^2 \, \sigma^2$$

$$\implies \eta_{\min} [f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \left[\|w_k - w^*\|^2 - \mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \right] + \eta_k^2 \, \sigma^2$$

Taking expectation w.r.t the randomness from iterations i = 0 to k - 1,

$$\eta_{\mathsf{min}} \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}\left[\left\|w_k - w^*\right\|^2 - \left\|w_{k+1} - w^*\right\|^2\right] + \eta_k^2 \, \sigma^2$$

Summing from k = 0 to T - 1,

$$\eta_{\min} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2\right] + \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \eta_k^2$$

Recall
$$\eta_{\min} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2\right] + \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \eta_k^2$$
.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\|w_0 - w^*\|^2 - \|w_T - w^*\|^2\right]}{\eta_{\min}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\eta_{\min}} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \eta_k^2$$

$$\implies \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)]}{T} \le \frac{\|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\eta_{\min} T} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\eta_{\min} T} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \eta_k^2 \qquad \text{(Dividing by } T\text{)}$$

Define $\bar{w}_T := \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} w_k}{T}$. Since f is convex, we can use Jensen's inequality to conclude that $\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T)] \leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k)]}{T}$. Choosing $\eta_k = \frac{1}{2L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{2L \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2L\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \frac{1}{k}$$

Recall that
$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \le \frac{2L \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2L\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=1}^T \frac{1}{k}$$
. Since $\sum_{k=1}^T \frac{1}{k} \le 1 + \log(T)$,
$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \le \frac{2L \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2(1 + \log(T))}{2L\sqrt{T}}$$

- Hence, compared to GD that has an $O(1/\tau)$ rate of convergence, SGD has an $O(1/\sqrt{\tau})$ convergence rate, but each iteration of SGD is faster.
- For GD, we proved a guarantee for the last iterate w_T ; for SGD, our guarantee only holds for the average iterate \bar{w}_T . By using a different step-size scheme, we can get last-iterate convergence.

Lower Bound: Without additional assumptions, for smooth, convex functions, no first-order algorithm using the stochastic gradient oracle can obtain a (dimension-independent) convergence rate faster than $\Omega(1/\sqrt{\tau})$.

Hence, SGD is optimal for minimizing smooth, convex functions. In the stochastic setting, using momentum or Nesterov acceleration has no provable benefit in terms of the dependence on T.

• Let us analyze the convergence for an alternative choice of the step-size. By following the previous proof, we have that for $\eta_k \leq \frac{1}{2L}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{\|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\eta_{\min} T} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\eta_{\min} T} \sum_{k=1}^T \eta_k^2$$

• If we do not decay the step-size, and set $\eta_k = \eta = \frac{1}{2L}$, then,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \le \underbrace{\frac{2L \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{T}}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2}{2L}}_{\text{neighbourhood}}$$

- Hence, if we use a constant step-size for SGD, it will not converge to the minimum value but will oscillate in a *neighbourhood* around the minimum.
- Recall that if we use a mini-batch size of b, the "effective" noise is reduced to $\sigma_b^2 = \frac{n-b}{n\,b}\,\sigma^2$.
- **Common practice**: Step-size schedules run SGD for some iterations (in a stage), decrease the step-size by a multiplicative factor and use the smaller step-size in the next stage.



- If $\sigma=0$, SGD can attain an $O(1/\tau)$ convergence to the minimizer using a constant step-size. If $\sigma\neq 0$, then SGD can converge to the minimizer at an $\Theta(1/\sqrt{\tau})$ rate using a $O(1/\sqrt{k})$ step-size.
- If σ is known, SGD with a tuned step-size can attain an $O(1/\tau + \sigma/\sqrt{\tau})$ rate i.e. convergence is slowed down only by the extent of noise [GL13, Corollary 2.2].
- Using $\eta_k = \eta \leq \frac{1}{2L}$, following the same proof,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2] \le \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + 2L\eta^2 \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] + \eta^2 \sigma^2$$

$$2\eta(1 - \eta L) \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2\right] + \eta^2 \sigma^2$$

As before, taking expectation w.r.t the randomness from iterations i=0 to k-1 and summing,

$$2\eta(1-\eta L) \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le ||w_0 - w^*||^2 + \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \eta^2$$
$$2\eta(1-\eta L) \mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \le \frac{||w_0 - w^*||^2}{T} + \sigma^2 \eta^2$$

(By dividing by T and using Jensen similar to before)

Recall that
$$2\eta(1-\eta L)\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T)-f(w^*)] \leq \frac{\|w_0-w^*\|^2}{T} + \sigma^2\eta^2$$
. Choosing $\eta = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2L}, \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_{T}) - f(w^{*})] \leq \frac{\|w_{0} - w^{*}\|^{2}}{T 2\eta(1 - \eta L)} + \sigma^{2} \frac{\eta^{2}}{2\eta(1 - \eta L)} \leq \frac{\|w_{0} - w^{*}\|^{2}}{T \eta} + \sigma^{2} \eta$$

$$(\text{For } \eta \leq \frac{1}{2L}, \ \eta \leq 2\eta - 2\eta^{2} L)$$

$$\leq \frac{\|w_{0} - w^{*}\|^{2}}{T \eta} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \leq \frac{\|w_{0} - w^{*}\|^{2}}{T} \max \left\{ 2L, \sigma\sqrt{T} \right\} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}$$

$$(1/\min\{a,b\} = \max\{1/a, 1/b\})$$

$$\leq \frac{\|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{T} \left(2L + \sigma\sqrt{T}\right) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}$$

$$\left(\max\{a, b\} \leq a + b \text{ for } a, b \geq 0\right)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_{\mathcal{T}}) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{2L \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\mathcal{T}} + \sigma \left| \frac{\|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + 1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{T}}} \right|$$

Hence, with $\eta = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2L}, \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \right\}$, SGD converges to the minimizer at an $O(1/\tau + \sigma/\sqrt{T})$ rate.



References i



Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan, *Stochastic first-and zeroth-order methods for nonconvex stochastic programming*, SIAM Journal on Optimization **23** (2013), no. 4, 2341–2368.