# CMPT 419/983: Theoretical Foundations of Reinforcement Learning

Lecture 5

Sharan Vaswani October 6, 2023

#### Recap

- Bellman equation for policy  $\pi$ :  $v^{\pi}(s) = \mathbf{r}_{\pi}(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}[s, s'] v^{\pi}(s')$ =  $\sum_{a \in A} r(s, a) \pi[a|s] + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \sum_{a \in A} \mathcal{P}[s'|s, a] \pi[a|s] v^{\pi}(s').$
- Bellman Optimality:  $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R}^S \to \mathbb{R}^S$  s.t.  $(\mathcal{T}u)(s) = \max_a \{r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a)u(s')\}.$
- Fundamental Theorem: For policy  $\pi^* \in \prod_{SD}$ ,  $v^{\pi^*}(s) = \max_{\pi \in \prod_{u \in V}} v^{\pi}(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .
- $v^* = \mathcal{T}v^* = \max_{\pi \in \Pi_{\mathbf{SD}}} \{\mathbf{r}_{\pi} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi}v^*\} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi^*}v^* = \mathbf{r}_{\pi^*} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi^*}v^*$
- Value Iteration: Iterate  $v_k = \mathcal{T}v_{k-1}$  for K iterations.  $\forall s \in S$ , return the greedy policy w.r.t  $v_K$  i.e.  $\hat{\pi}(s) = \arg \max_a \{r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) v_K(s')\}.$
- VI convergence: After  $K \geq \frac{\log(1/\epsilon(1-\gamma))}{1-\gamma}$  iterations, VI returns a  $v_K$  s.t.  $\|v_K v^*\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ .
- Since  $\hat{\pi}$  is the policy returned by VI, we want a bound on  $\|v^* v^{\hat{\pi}}\|_{\infty}$ .
- Today, we will prove that VI requires  $K \geq \frac{\log(2\gamma/\epsilon(1-\gamma)^2)}{1-\gamma}$  iterations to ensure  $\|v^* v^{\hat{\pi}}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ .

#### Policy Error Bound

**Claim**: For an arbitrary  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{S}$  if (i)  $\pi$  is the greedy policy w.r.t v, i.e.  $\pi(s) = \arg \max_{a} \{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) v(s') \}$ , (ii)  $v^{\pi}$  is the value function corresponding to policy  $\pi$  i.e.  $v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi} v^{\pi} = \mathbf{r}_{\pi} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi} v^{\pi}$ , then,

$$oldsymbol{v}^{\pi} \geq oldsymbol{v}^{*} - rac{2\gamma \, \|oldsymbol{v}-oldsymbol{v}^{*}\|_{\infty}}{1-\gamma} \, oldsymbol{1}$$

*Proof*: For the proof, we need the following properties of the  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi}$  operators.

$$\mathcal{T} \mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{v}^*$$
 ;  $\mathcal{T} \mathbf{v} = \mathcal{T}_\pi \mathbf{v}$  ;  $\mathbf{v}^\pi = \mathcal{T}_\pi \mathbf{v}^\pi$ 

We will also need the following properties: for  $u, w \in \mathbb{R}^{S}$  s.t.  $u \leq w$  (element-wise) and a constant c,

$$\mathcal{T}(u) \leq \mathcal{T}(w) \quad ; \quad \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(u) \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(w) \tag{Monotonicity}$$
$$\mathcal{T}(u+c\mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{T}(u) + c\gamma \mathbf{1} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(u+c\mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(u) + c\gamma \mathbf{1} \tag{Additivity}$$

Prove in Assignment 2!

7

# **Policy Error Bound**

Ľ

Define 
$$\epsilon := \|v^* - v\|_{\infty} \implies -\epsilon \mathbf{1} \le v^* - v \le \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$
 and define  $\delta := v^* - v^{\pi}$ .  
 $\delta = v^* - v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}v^* - v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}v^* - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi}$  (By definitions of  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_{\pi}$ )  
 $\le \mathcal{T}(v + \epsilon \mathbf{1}) - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}v + \epsilon\gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi}$  (By monotonicity, additivity of  $\mathcal{T}$ )  
 $= \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v + \epsilon\gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi}$  (Since  $\mathcal{T}v = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v$ )  
 $\le \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(v^* + \epsilon \mathbf{1}) + \epsilon\gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^* + \gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1} + \epsilon\gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi}$   
(By monotonicity, additivity of  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi}$ )  
 $= \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^* - \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi} + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$   
 $= [\mathbf{r}_{\pi} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi}v^*] - [\mathbf{r}_{\pi} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi}v^{\pi}] + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$  (By definition of  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi}$ )  
 $= \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(v^* - v^{\pi}) + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$   
 $\implies \delta \le \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$   
 $\implies |\delta| \le \gamma |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta| + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$ 

(Taking an element-wise absolute value and using the triangle inequality)

# Policy Error Bound

Recall that  $\epsilon = \|\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}\|_{\infty}$ ,  $\delta := \mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}^{\pi}$  and  $|\delta| \le \gamma |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta| + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$  Let us simplify  $|\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta|$ . For an arbitrary s,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta|(s) &= \left|\sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s,s')\delta(s')\right| \leq \sum_{s'} |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s,s')\delta(s')| = \sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s,s')|\delta(s')| \\ &\leq \|\delta\|_{\infty} \sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s,s') = \|\delta\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$
$$\implies |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta| \leq \|\delta\|_{\infty} \mathbf{1} \implies |\delta| \leq \gamma \|\delta\|_{\infty} \mathbf{1} + 2\gamma\epsilon\mathbf{1} \\ \implies \|\delta\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \|\delta\|_{\infty} + 2\gamma\epsilon \implies \|\delta\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\gamma\epsilon}{1-\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

(By taking the element-wise maximum on both sides)

$$\implies \left\| {{\boldsymbol{v}}^*} - {{\boldsymbol{v}}^\pi} \right\|_\infty \le \frac{{2\gamma }\left\| {{\boldsymbol{v}}^*} - {{\boldsymbol{v}}} \right\|_\infty }{{1 - \gamma }} \implies {{\boldsymbol{v}}^\pi} \ge {{\boldsymbol{v}}^*} - \frac{{2\gamma }\left\| {{\boldsymbol{v}} - {{\boldsymbol{v}}^*}} \right\|_\infty }{{1 - \gamma }}\mathbf{1} \quad \Box$$

Algorithm Policy Iteration

- 1: Input: MDP  $M = (S, A, P, r, \rho)$ ,  $\pi_0$ .
- 2: for  $k = 0 \rightarrow K$  do
- 3: **Policy Evaluation**: Calculate  $v^{\pi_k}$  as the solution to  $(I \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi_k})v = \mathbf{r}_{\pi_k}$ .
- 4: **Policy Improvement**:  $\forall s, \pi_{k+1}(s) = \arg \max_{a} \{r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) v^{\pi_k}(s')\}$

5: end for

- Computational Complexity:  $O((S^3 + S^2A)K)$
- We will prove that  $K = O\left(\frac{SA}{1-\gamma}\right)$  iterations of PI are sufficient to ensure exact convergence to the optimal policy. Hence, PI requires  $O\left(\frac{S^4A+S^3A^2}{1-\gamma}\right)$  operations.

We will do the proof in two steps:

- (i) Show that the sequence of  $v^{\pi_k}$  converges to  $v^*$  at a linear rate (similar to VI).
- (ii) Relate  $v^{\pi_k}$  to the greedy policy chosen by PI at each iteration.

(i) Claim: For PI,  $\|v^{\pi_{\kappa}} - v^*\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma^{\kappa} \|v^{\pi_0} - v^*\|_{\infty}$ . *Proof:* We will first prove a more general result: for any  $\pi, \pi'$ , if  $\pi'$  is the greedy policy w.r.t  $v^{\pi}$ , then,  $v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi} \leq v^{\pi'}$ . To see this, note that,

 $\mathcal{T}v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}v^{\pi}$ ;  $v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi}$  (By definition of  $\pi'$  and by definitions of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi}$ )

We will use induction to show that  $v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n}v^{\pi}$  for all n. As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi} \leq v^{\pi'}$ . **Base Case**: For n = 1, from the above definition, we know that  $v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}v^{\pi}$ . **Inductive Hypothesis**: Assume that  $v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n-1}v^{\pi}$ . Let us prove it for n,

$$v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n-1} v^{\pi} \implies \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n} v^{\pi} \implies \mathcal{T} v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n} v^{\pi} \implies v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T} v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n} v^{\pi}$$

Using this result for PI, we get that  $v^{\pi_k} \leq T v^{\pi_k} \leq v^{\pi_{k+1}}$ . Using this result recursively,

$$\mathcal{T}v^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \leq v^{\pi_{\mathbf{1}}} \implies \mathcal{T}^{2}v^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi_{\mathbf{1}}} \leq v^{\pi_{\mathbf{2}}} \implies \mathcal{T}^{K}v^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \leq v^{\pi_{K}}$$

Recall we have proved that  $\mathcal{T}^{K}v^{\pi_{0}} \leq v^{\pi_{K}}$ . Since  $v^{*}$  is the optimal value function,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} &\leq \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}} \leq \mathbf{v}^{*} \implies \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}} \leq \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \\ \implies \|\mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}}\|_{\infty} \\ \implies \|\mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma^{K} \|\mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}}\|_{\infty} \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

For proving (ii), we will require an intermediate result – the value difference lemma.

**Claim**: For any  $\pi, \pi' \in \Pi_{SR}$ ,  $v^{\pi'} - v^{\pi} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} g(\pi', \pi)$  where  $g(\pi', \pi) := \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^{\pi} - v^{\pi}$ . *Proof*: Recall that  $v^{\pi'} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{\pi'}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^{\pi'} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi} &= (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{\pi'} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{r}_{\pi'} - (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'}) \mathbf{v}^{\pi} \right] \\ &= (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \left[ (\mathbf{r}_{\pi'} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'} \mathbf{v}^{\pi}) - \mathbf{v}^{\pi} \right] = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \left[ \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} \mathbf{v}^{\pi} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi} \right] \\ &= (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} g(\pi', \pi) \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

**Claim**: Consider an arbitrary sub-optimal stationary deterministic policy  $\pi'_0$  and define  $\pi'_K$  to be the policy returned by PI after K iterations starting from policy  $\pi'_0$ . For all  $K \ge K^* := \lceil \frac{\log(1/1-\gamma)}{\log(1/\gamma)} \rceil + 1$ , there exists a state s' such that  $\pi'_K[s'] \ne \pi'_0[s']$ . This means that for all  $K \ge K^*$ , the action corresponding to  $\pi'_0[s']$  is *eliminated* for state s'.

We will use this claim multiple times starting from  $\pi'_0 = \pi_0$ . In particular,

- After K ≥ K<sup>\*</sup> iterations of PI, we know there exists a state s' for which the action corresponding to π<sub>0</sub>[s'] is eliminated.
- If we continue running PI, after a further  $K^*$  iterations, another action would be eliminated. Specifically, for  $\pi'_0 = \pi_{K^*}$ , there exists a state s'' for which the action corresponding to  $\pi_{K^*}[s'']$  is eliminated.
- Since we are considering deterministic policies, we need to eliminate at most SA S actions, and need to run PI for at most  $(SA S) K^*$  iterations. Hence, PI will converge to the optimal policy in  $O\left(\frac{S A \log(1/1-\gamma)}{1-\gamma}\right)$  iterations.

*Proof*: We will make use of the value difference lemma to bound  $g(\pi, \pi^*)$ . Note that  $g(\pi, \pi^*) = \mathcal{T}_{\pi} v^* - v^* < 0$  for all sub-optimal policies  $\pi$ .

$$-g(\pi'_{K},\pi^{*}) = \left(I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{K}}\right) [v^{*} - v^{\pi'_{K}}] = [v^{*} - v^{\pi'_{K}}] - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{K}} \underbrace{[v^{*} - v^{\pi'_{K}}]}_{\text{Non-nonstrive}} \leq [v^{*} - v^{\pi'_{K}}]$$

 $\implies \|g(\pi'_{\mathcal{K}}, \pi^*)\|_{\infty} \leq \left\|v^* - v^{\pi'_{\mathcal{K}}}\right\|_{\infty}$ (Taking element-wise absolute value and max over the states)

$$\leq \gamma^{K} \| \mathbf{v}^{\pi'_{0}} - \mathbf{v}^{*} \|_{\infty}$$
 (From the claim in (i))  

$$= \gamma^{K} \| (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{0}})^{-1} g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*}) \|_{\infty}$$
 (Value Difference Lemma)  

$$\leq \frac{\gamma^{K}}{1 - \gamma} \| g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*}) \|_{\infty}$$
 (Using the Neumann series)  

$$\Rightarrow \| g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*}) \|_{\infty} < \| g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*}) \|_{\infty}$$
 ( $K \geq K^{*} = \lceil \frac{\log(1/1 - \gamma)}{\log(1/\gamma)} \rceil + 1$ )

Recall that  $||g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})||_{\infty} < ||g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})||_{\infty}$ . If  $s' := \arg \max_{s} |g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s)| \implies ||g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})||_{\infty} = -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s')$ , then,  $||g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})||_{\infty} < -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s') \implies \max_{s} |g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})| \le -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s')$   $\implies -g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})(s') < -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s')$   $\implies v^{*}(s') - (\mathcal{T}_{\pi'_{K}}v^{*})(s') < v^{*}(s') - (\mathcal{T}_{\pi'_{0}}v^{*})(s')$  (Recall that  $-g(\pi', \pi^{*}) = v^{*} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}v^{*})$   $\implies \mathbf{r}_{\pi'_{K}}(s') + (\mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{K}}v^{*})(s') > \mathbf{r}_{\pi'_{0}}(s') + (\mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{0}}v^{*})(s')$  (Recall that  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi'}v^{*} = \mathbf{r}_{\pi'} + \mathbf{P}_{\pi'}v^{*})$  $\implies \pi'_{K}(s') \neq \pi'_{0}(s') \square$  (Proof by contradiction)

# Linear Programming

# Linear Programming and MDPs

Finding an optimal policy in an MDP is equivalent to solving a linear program.

**Primal LP**: For a starting state distribution  $\rho \in \Delta_S$ 

$$egin{aligned} & v^* = rgmin_{v \in \mathbb{R}^S} \langle 
ho, v 
angle \quad ext{s.t.} \ \forall (s, a); \quad v(s) \geq r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) \, v(s') \end{aligned}$$

- Intuition: In Lecture 4, while proving the Fundamental Theorem, we saw that if  $v \ge Tv$ , then  $v \ge v^*$ . The constraints in the primal LP correspond to  $v \ge Tv$ , and the objective is to find the smallest v that satisfies these constraints.
- The primal LP is over-determined and has S variables and  $S \times A$  constraints.
- For each  $s \in S$ , there exists an  $a^*(s)$  such that  $v^*(s) = r(s, a^*(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a^*(s))v^*(s)$  i.e. the constraint is "tight".
- The stationary deterministic policy  $\pi^*(s) = a^*(s)$  is an optimal policy and  $v^*$ , the solution to the primal LP is the optimal value function.
- For details and proofs, refer to Section 5.8.1 of [PC'23].

# Linear Programming and MDPs

**Dual LP**: Define  $r \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times A}$  to be the reward vector,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times A}$  to be the *state-action occupancy* measure and  $d^{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}^{S}$  to be the *state occupancy measure* such that,

$$\mu(s,a) := (1-\gamma) \sum_{s_0 \in S} \rho(s_0) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Pr[S_t = s, A_t = A | S_0 = s_0] \quad ; \quad \forall (s,a) \in S \times \mathcal{A}$$

$$\begin{split} d(s) &:= (1 - \gamma) \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \rho(s_0) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Pr[S_t = s | S_0 = s_0] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S} \\ \mu^* &= \argmax_{\mu \in [0,\infty)^{S \times A}} \frac{\langle \mu, r \rangle}{1 - \gamma} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall s' \in \mathcal{S} \quad \gamma \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}(s' | s, a) \ \mu(s, a) + (1 - \gamma) \ \rho(s') = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(s', a) \end{split}$$

- Intuition: Maximizing the value function is equivalent to aligning  $\mu$  to the reward vector r while ensuring that  $\mu$  satisfies the "flow" constraints.
- The dual LP has SA variables and SA + S constraints.  $\mu^*$  consists of S non-zeros.
- There is a one-one mapping between  $\mu$  and  $\pi$ , i.e.  $\pi(a|s) = \frac{\mu(s,a)}{\sum_{a'} \mu(s,a')}$ ,
- Need to derive the dual LP from basics and implement it in Assignment 2!

# Linear Programming and MDPs

- The primal and dual LPs satisfy strong duality i.e.  $\langle \rho, v^* \rangle = \frac{\langle \mu^*, r \rangle}{1 \gamma}$ .
- $\pi^*$  is the greedy policy corresponding to  $v^*$  such that  $\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a \mu^*(s, a)$ .
- The Simplex method for solving these LPs is equivalent to Policy Iteration.
- The resulting LP can be solved by other algorithms such as interior point methods, primal-dual methods and this connection has been recently exploited for proving sample-complexity results and designing algorithms with function approximation.
- We have studied algorithms that use knowledge of the transition probabilities  $\mathcal{P}$  and rewards r to compute the optimal policy.
- These quantities are difficult to obtain in practical scenarios, and hence we need methods that can compute the optimal policy without explicitly relying on this information.
- In the next class, we will consider evaluating a fixed policy  $\pi$  without explicit knowledge of  ${\cal P}$  and r.