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## Recap

For $L$-smooth, convex functions, GD with $\eta=1 / L$ requires $T \geq \frac{2 L\left\|w_{0}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}}{\epsilon}$ iterations to obtain point $w_{T}$ that is $\epsilon$-suboptimal in the sense that $f\left(w_{T}\right) \leq f\left(w^{*}\right)+\epsilon$.
For $L$-smooth, convex functions, the rate can improved to $\Theta(1 / \sqrt{\epsilon})$ using Nesterov acceleration.
For $L$-smooth, $\mu$-strongly convex functions, GD with $\eta=\frac{1}{L}$ requires $T \geq \kappa \log \left(\frac{\left\|w_{0}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}}{\epsilon}\right)$ iterations to obtain a point $w_{T}$ that is $\epsilon$-suboptimal in the sense that $\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq \epsilon$.
For $L$-smooth, $\mu$-strongly convex functions, the rate can improved to $\Theta\left(\sqrt{\kappa} \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ using Nesterov acceleration.

## Dealing with Constrained Domains

We have characterized the convergence of GD on smooth, (strongly)-convex functions when the domain was $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ i.e. the optimization was "unconstrained".

In general, convex optimization can be constrained to be over a convex set.
Examples: Linear programming, Optimizing over the probability simplex or a norm-ball.
We can modify GD to solve problems such as $\min _{w \in \mathcal{C}} f(w)$ where $f$ is a convex function and $\mathcal{C}$ is a convex set.

## Projected GD

$$
w_{k+1}=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}\left[w_{k}-\eta \nabla f\left(w_{k}\right)\right]
$$

where, $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[x]=\arg \min _{w \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2}\|w-x\|^{2}$ is the Euclidean projection onto the convex set $\mathcal{C}$.

## Dealing with Constrained Domains

Q: (i) Is $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[x]$ unique for convex sets? (ii) For non-convex sets?
Ans: (i) Yes, since we are minimizing a strongly-convex function over a convex set. (ii) Not necessarily, for example, when the set is the boundary of a circle and we are projecting the centre.

Q: For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, compute the Euclidean projection onto the $\ell_{2}$-ball: $\mathcal{B}(0,1)=\left\{w \mid\|w\|_{2}^{2} \leq 1\right\}$ ? Ans: We need to solve $y=\min _{\|w\|_{2}^{2} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2}\|w-x\|_{2}^{2}$. If $\|x\|_{2}^{2} \leq 1, x \in \mathcal{B}(0,1)$, and $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}(0,1)}[x]=x$. If $\|x\|_{2}^{2}>1$, then the projection will result in a point on the boundary of $\mathcal{B}$ and have unit length. Consider the set of candidate points of unit length: $\hat{Y}=\left\{\hat{y} \mid\|\hat{y}\|_{2}^{2}=1\right\}$. For $y=\frac{x}{\|x\|_{2}^{2}} \in \hat{Y}$ and any other $\hat{y} \in \hat{Y}$,

$$
y=\underset{\hat{y} \in \hat{y}}{\arg \min } \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{y}-x\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1+\|x\|^{2}}{2}-\langle\hat{y}, x\rangle
$$

Hence, if $\|x\|_{2}^{2}>1$, then $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}[x]=\frac{x}{\|x\|_{2}^{2}}$. Putting both cases together, $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}[x]=\frac{x}{\max \left\{1,\|x\|_{2}^{2}\right\}}$. Can and should be formally done using Lagrange multipliers.

## Dealing with Constrained Domains

For convex optimization over unconstrained domains, we know that the minimizer can be characterized by its gradient norm i.e. if $w^{*}$ is a minimizer, then, $\nabla f\left(w^{*}\right)=0$.

Optimality conditions: For constrained convex domains, if $f$ is convex and $w^{*} \in \arg \min _{w \in \mathcal{C}} f(w)$, then $\forall w \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$
\left\langle\nabla f\left(w^{*}\right), w-w^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

i.e. if we are at the optimal, either the gradient is zero (if $w^{*}$ is inside $\mathcal{C}$ ) or moving in the negative direction of the gradient will push us out of $\mathcal{C}$ (if $w^{*}$ is at the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ ).
For the Euclidean projection, if $y:=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[x]=\arg \min _{w \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2}\|w-x\|^{2}$, then, using the optimal conditions above, $\forall w \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$
\langle x-y, w-y\rangle \leq 0
$$

i.e. the angle between the rays $y \rightarrow x$ and $y \rightarrow w$ for all $w \in \mathcal{C}$ is greater than $90^{\circ}$.

Q: For convex set $\mathcal{C}$, if $w^{*}=\arg \min _{w \in \mathcal{C}} f(w)$, what is $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}\left[w^{*}\right]$ ?
Ans: $w^{*}$ since $w^{*} \in \mathcal{C}$

## Dealing with Constrained Domains

Claim: Projections onto a convex set are non-expansive operations i.e. for all $x_{1}, x_{2}$, if $y_{1}:=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}\left[x_{1}\right]$ and $y_{2}:=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}\left[x_{2}\right]$, then, $\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|$.

Proof: Recall from the last slide, that for the Euclidean projection, $y=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[x]$, $\langle x-y, w-y\rangle \leq 0$ for all $w \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle x_{1}-y_{1}, w-y_{1}\right\rangle \leq 0 \Longrightarrow\left\langle x_{1}-y_{1}, y_{2}-y_{1}\right\rangle \leq 0 & \\
\left\langle x_{2}-y_{2}, w-y_{2}\right\rangle \leq 0 \Longrightarrow\left\langle x_{2}-y_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right\rangle \leq 0 & \\
\left(\text { Set } w=y_{2}\right) \\
\text { Set } \left.w=y_{1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Adding the two equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle x_{2}-y_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{1}-y_{1}, y_{2}-y_{1}\right\rangle \leq 0 \Longrightarrow\left\langle x_{2}-x_{1}+y_{1}-y_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right\rangle \leq 0 \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow\left\langle y_{1}-y_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right\rangle \Longrightarrow\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

(Cauchy Schwartz)

$$
\Longrightarrow\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|
$$

## Projected GD for Smooth, Strongly-Convex Functions

Recall projected GD: $w_{k+1}=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}\left[w_{k}-\eta \nabla f\left(w_{k}\right)\right]$. Using that $w^{*}=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}\left[w^{*}-\eta \nabla f\left(w^{*}\right)\right]$ for any $\eta$ (Need to prove in Assignment 2) and using the non-expansiveness of projections with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=w^{*}-\eta \nabla f\left(w^{*}\right), x_{2}=w_{k}-\eta \nabla f\left(w_{k}\right), y_{1}=w^{*}, y_{2}=w_{k+1}, \\
& \left\|w_{k+1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|w_{k}-\eta \nabla f\left(w_{k}\right)-w^{*}+\eta \nabla f\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

With this change, the proof proceeds as before. In particular,

$$
\left\|w_{k+1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \eta\left\langle\nabla f\left(w_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(w^{*}\right), w_{k}-w^{*}\right\rangle+\eta^{2}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

Using the optimality condition for $w^{*}$, smoothness and strong-convexity (similar to Lecture 4), we can derive the same linear rate (Need to prove in Assignment 2)

$$
\left\|w_{k+1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq \exp (-T / k)\left\|w_{0}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}
$$

We can also redo the proof for smooth, convex functions and get the same $O(1 / T)$ convergence rate. Hence, projected GD is a good option for minimizing convex functions over convex sets when the projection operation is computationally cheap.

## Questions?

## Nesterov Acceleration

Gradient Descent: $w_{k+1}=\mathrm{GD}\left(w_{k}\right)$ where GD is a function such that $\mathrm{GD}(w):=w-\eta \nabla f(w)$. Nesterov Acceleration: $w_{k+1}=\operatorname{GD}\left(w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)\right)$ for $\beta_{k} \geq 0$ to be determined. Hence,

$$
w_{k+1}=\left[w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)\right]-\eta \nabla f\left(w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)\right)
$$

i.e. Nesterov acceleration can be interpreted as doing GD on "extrapolated" points where $\beta_{k}$ can be interpreted as the "momentum" in the previous direction ( $w_{k}-w_{k-1}$ ).
If we define sequence $v_{k}:=w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)$, and initialize $w_{0}=v_{0}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}=w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right) \quad ; \quad w_{k+1}=v_{k}-\eta \nabla f\left(v_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting the above expression only in terms of $v_{k}$,

$$
v_{k+1}=v_{k}-\eta_{k} \nabla f\left(v_{k}\right)+\beta_{k+1}\left[v_{k}-v_{k-1}\right]-\eta \beta_{k+1}\left[\nabla f\left(v_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(v_{k-1}\right)\right]
$$

i.e. Nesterov acceleration can be interpreted as moving along a combination of three directions the gradient direction $\nabla f\left(v_{k}\right)$, the momentum direction for the iterates $\left[v_{k}-v_{k-1}\right.$ ] and the momentum direction for the gradients $\left[\nabla f\left(v_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(v_{k-1}\right)\right]$.

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

In order to analyze the convergence of Nesterov acceleration for smooth, convex functions, define $d_{k}:=\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)$, set $\eta=\frac{1}{L}$ and define $g_{k}:=-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)$. For $k \geq 1$ (for simplicity, set $\left.w_{1}=w_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{k+1} & =\left[w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)\right]-\eta \nabla f\left(w_{k}+\beta_{k}\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right)\right) \\
\Longrightarrow w_{k+1} & =w_{k}+d_{k}-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)=w_{k}+d_{k}+g_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to set the momentum parameter $\beta_{k}$, we define a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{T}$ such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=0 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{k}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \lambda_{k-1}^{2}}}{2} ; \quad \beta_{k+1}=\frac{\lambda_{k}-1}{\lambda_{k+1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim: For $L$-smooth, convex functions, Nesterov acceleration with $\eta=\frac{1}{L}, \beta_{k}$ set according to Eq. (2) and $T \geq \frac{\sqrt{2 L}\left\|w_{1}-w^{*}\right\|}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ iterations to obtain point $w_{T+1}$ that is $\epsilon$-suboptimal in the sense that $f\left(w_{T+1}\right) \leq f\left(w^{*}\right)+\epsilon$.
Hence, Nesterov acceleration is optimal for minimizing the class of smooth, convex functions.

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

In order to prove the claim, we will need the following lemma:
Lemma: When using Nesterov acceleration with $\eta=\frac{1}{L}$, for any vector $y$, $f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f(y) \leq\left\langle\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right), w_{k}+d_{k}-y\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}$.

Proof: Using L-smoothness, since Nesterov acceleration is equivalent to GD on $w_{k}+d_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right) & \leq\left\langle\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right), w_{k+1}-w_{k}-d_{k}\right\rangle+\frac{L}{2}\left\|w_{k+1}-w_{k}-d_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{L}\left\langle\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right), \nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\Longrightarrow f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right) & \leq \frac{-1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\Longrightarrow f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f(y) & \leq f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)-f(y)-\frac{1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using convexity: $f(y) \geq f(x)+\langle\nabla f(x), y-x\rangle$ with $x=w_{k}+d_{k}$ and $y=y$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Longrightarrow f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f(y) \leq\left\langle\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right), w_{k}+d_{k}-y\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

Using the lemma with $y=w^{*}$, with $f^{*}:=f\left(w^{*}\right)$ and define $\Delta_{k}:=f\left(w_{k}\right)-f^{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{k+1}=f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f^{*} & \leq\left\langle\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right), w_{k}+d_{k}-w^{*}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq-\frac{L}{2}\left[2\left\langle\frac{-\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)}{L},\left(w_{k}-w^{*}\right)+d_{k}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{L^{2}}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
\Longrightarrow \Delta_{k+1} & \leq-\frac{L}{2}\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, w_{k}-w^{*}+d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right] \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the lemma with $y=w_{k}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[f\left(w_{k+1}\right)-f^{*}\right] } & -\left[f\left(w_{k}\right)-f^{*}\right] \\
\Longrightarrow \Delta_{k+1}-\Delta_{k} & \leq-\frac{L}{2}\left[2\left\langle\frac{\left.-\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right), d_{k}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 L}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}}{L}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{L^{2}}\left\|\nabla f\left(w_{k}+d_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \Longrightarrow \Delta_{k+1}-\Delta_{k} \leq-\frac{L}{2}\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right] \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

For $\lambda_{k}>1$,
$\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)$ Eq. $(5)+$ Eq. $(4) \leq-\frac{L}{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]+\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, w_{k}-w^{*}+d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]\right]$
Let us first simplify the RHS,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]+\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, w_{k}-w^{*}+d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]\right]} \\
& =\lambda_{k}\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]-\left[2\left\langle g_{k}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle g_{k}, w_{k}-w^{*}+d_{k}\right\rangle-\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\left[\lambda_{k}^{2}\left(2\left\langle g_{k}, d_{k}\right\rangle+\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)+2 \lambda_{k}\left\langle g_{k}, w_{k}-w^{*}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}+\lambda_{k} g_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting everything together,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}\left[\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right) \text { Eq. (5) }+ \text { Eq. (4) }\right] \leq \frac{L}{2}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}+\lambda_{k} g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

Now let us simplify the LHS of Eq. (6),

$$
\lambda_{k}\left[\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right) \text { Eq. (5) }+ \text { Eq. (4) }\right]=\lambda_{k}\left[\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)\left(\Delta_{k+1}-\Delta_{k}\right)+\Delta_{k+1}\right]=\lambda_{k}^{2} \Delta_{k+1}-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-\lambda_{k}\right) \Delta_{k}
$$

Putting everything together,

$$
\lambda_{k}^{2} \Delta_{k+1}-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-\lambda_{k}\right) \Delta_{k} \leq \frac{L}{2}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}+\lambda_{k} g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]
$$

We wish to sum from $k=1$ to $T$, and telescope the terms. For the RHS, we want that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}+\lambda_{k} g_{k}=w_{k+1}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k+1} d_{k+1}=w_{k}+d_{k}+g_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k+1} d_{k+1} \\
& =w_{k}+d_{k}+g_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k+1} \beta_{k+1}\left[w_{k+1}-w_{k}\right] \\
& =w_{k}+d_{k}+g_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k+1} \beta_{k+1}\left[w_{k}+d_{k}+g_{k}-w_{k}\right] \\
& \Longrightarrow \text { We want that: } w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k}\left(d_{k}+g_{k}\right)=w_{k}-w^{*}+\left(1+\lambda_{k+1} \beta_{k+1}\right)\left[d_{k}+g_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be achieved if $\beta_{k+1}=\frac{\lambda_{k}-1}{\lambda_{k+1}}$.

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

Recall that: $\lambda_{k}^{2} \Delta_{k+1}-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}-\lambda_{k}\right) \Delta_{k} \leq \frac{L}{2}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}+\lambda_{k} g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right]$. In order to telescope the LHS, we want that,

$$
\lambda_{k-1}^{2}=\lambda_{k}^{2}-\lambda_{k} \Longrightarrow \lambda_{k}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \lambda_{k-1}^{2}}}{2}
$$

By using the sequence $\lambda_{k}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \lambda_{k-1}^{2}}}{2}$ and setting $\beta_{k+1}=\frac{\lambda_{k}-1}{\lambda_{k+1}}$,

$$
\lambda_{k}^{2} \Delta_{k+1}-\lambda_{k-1}^{2} \Delta_{k} \leq \frac{L}{2}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k} d_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{k+1}-w^{*}+\lambda_{k+1} d_{k+1}\right\|^{2}\right]
$$

Summing from $k=1$ to $T$, since $\lambda_{0}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{T}^{2} \Delta_{T+1} & \leq \frac{L}{2}\left[\left\|w_{1}-w^{*}+\lambda_{1} d_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{T+1}-w^{*}+\lambda_{T+1} d_{T+1}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{L}{2}\left\|w_{1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2} \quad\left(\text { Since } w_{0}=w_{1} \Longrightarrow d_{1}=\beta_{1}\left(w_{1}-w_{0}\right)=0\right) \\
\Longrightarrow \Delta_{T+1}=f\left(w_{T+1}\right)-f^{*} & \leq \frac{L}{2 \lambda_{T}^{2}}\left\|w_{1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Convex Functions

Recall that $f\left(w_{T+1}\right)-f^{*} \leq \frac{L}{2 \lambda_{T}^{2}}\left\|w_{1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}$. Let us prove that $\lambda_{k} \geq \frac{k}{2}$ by induction.
Base case: $k=1, \lambda_{1}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \lambda_{0}^{2}}}{2}=1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
Inductive step: Assuming the statement is true for $k-1$ i.e. $\lambda_{k-1} \geq \frac{k-1}{2}$,
$\lambda_{k}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \lambda_{k-1}^{2}}}{2}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+(k-1)^{2}}}{2} \geq \frac{k}{2}$.
Hence, $\lambda_{k} \geq \frac{k}{2}$ and $\lambda_{T} \geq \frac{T}{2}$. Hence,

$$
f\left(w_{T+1}\right)-f^{*} \leq \frac{2 L\left\|w_{1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}}
$$

Hence, Nesterov acceleration with $\eta=\frac{1}{L}$ and a carefully engineered $\beta_{k}$ sequence can obtain the accelerated $O\left(\frac{1}{T^{2}}\right)$ rate for smooth, convex functions.

## Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Strongly-Convex Functions

Nesterov acceleration also results in the accelerated $O(\sqrt{\kappa} \log (1 / \epsilon))$ rate for smooth, strongly-convex functions.
In order to obtain this rate, the algorithm requires the following parameter settings: $\eta=\frac{1}{L}$ and,

$$
\beta_{k}=\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}
$$

Refer to Bubeck, 3.7.1 for the analysis.
Compared to the smooth, convex setting for which $\beta_{k}$ decreases, the strongly-convex setting requires a constant $\beta_{k}$ in order to attain the accelerated rate.

Compared to GD, for smooth, strongly-convex functions, Nesterov acceleration requires knowledge of $\kappa$ (and hence $\mu$ ) in order to set $\beta_{k}$.

Unlike estimating $L$, estimating $\mu$ is difficult, and misestimating it can result in bad empirical performance. Common trick that results in decent performance is to use the convex parameters (with the decreasing $\beta_{k}$ ) with restarts.

## Questions?

