# CMPT 409/981: Optimization for Machine Learning

Lecture 5

Sharan Vaswani

September 26, 2022

For *L*-smooth, convex functions, GD with  $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$  requires  $T \ge \frac{2L \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\epsilon}$  iterations to obtain point  $w_T$  that is  $\epsilon$ -suboptimal in the sense that  $f(w_T) \le f(w^*) + \epsilon$ .

For L-smooth, convex functions, the rate can improved to  $\Theta\left(1/\sqrt{\epsilon}\right)$  using Nesterov acceleration.

For *L*-smooth,  $\mu$ -strongly convex functions, GD with  $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$  requires  $T \ge \kappa \log \left(\frac{\|w_0 - w^*\|^2}{\epsilon}\right)$  iterations to obtain a point  $w_T$  that is  $\epsilon$ -suboptimal in the sense that  $\|w_T - w^*\|^2 \le \epsilon$ .

For *L*-smooth,  $\mu$ -strongly convex functions, the rate can improved to  $\Theta\left(\sqrt{\kappa} \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$  using Nesterov acceleration.

We have characterized the convergence of GD on smooth, (strongly)-convex functions when the domain was  $\mathbb{R}^d$  i.e. the optimization was "unconstrained".

In general, convex optimization can be constrained to be over a convex set.

Examples: Linear programming, Optimizing over the probability simplex or a norm-ball.

We can modify GD to solve problems such as  $\min_{w \in C} f(w)$  where f is a convex function and C is a convex set.

#### **Projected GD**

$$w_{k+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{C}} \left[ w_k - \eta \nabla f(w_k) \right]$$

where,  $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[x] = \arg \min_{w \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2} \|w - x\|^2$  is the Euclidean projection onto the convex set  $\mathcal{C}$ .

#### Q: (i) Is $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[x]$ unique for convex sets? (ii) For non-convex sets?

Ans: (i) Yes, since we are minimizing a strongly-convex function over a convex set. (ii) Not necessarily, for example, when the set is the boundary of a circle and we are projecting the centre.

Q: For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , compute the Euclidean projection onto the  $\ell_2$ -ball:  $\mathcal{B}(0,1) = \{w | \|w\|_2^2 \le 1\}$ ? Ans: We need to solve  $y = \min_{\|w\|_2^2 \le 1} \frac{1}{2} \|w - x\|_2^2$ . If  $\|x\|_2^2 \le 1$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{B}(0,1)$ , and  $\prod_{\mathcal{B}(0,1)} [x] = x$ . If  $\|x\|_2^2 > 1$ , then the projection will result in a point on the boundary of  $\mathcal{B}$  and have unit length. Consider the set of candidate points of unit length:  $\hat{Y} = \{\hat{y} \mid \|\hat{y}\|_2^2 = 1\}$ . For  $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2^2} \in \hat{Y}$  and any other  $\hat{y} \in \hat{Y}$ ,

$$y = \arg\min_{\hat{y} \in \hat{Y}} \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{y} - x\|_2^2 = \frac{1 + \|x\|}{2} - \langle \hat{y}, x \rangle$$

Hence, if  $||x||_2^2 > 1$ , then  $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}[x] = \frac{x}{||x||_2^2}$ . Putting both cases together,  $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}[x] = \frac{x}{\max\{1, ||x||_2^2\}}$ . Can and should be formally done using Lagrange multipliers.

# **Dealing with Constrained Domains**

For convex optimization over unconstrained domains, we know that the minimizer can be characterized by its gradient norm i.e. if  $w^*$  is a minimizer, then,  $\nabla f(w^*) = 0$ .

**Optimality conditions**: For constrained convex domains, if f is convex and  $w^* \in \arg\min_{w \in C} f(w)$ , then  $\forall w \in C$ ,

$$\langle \nabla f(w^*), w - w^* \rangle \geq 0$$

i.e. if we are at the optimal, either the gradient is zero (if  $w^*$  is inside C) or moving in the negative direction of the gradient will push us out of C (if  $w^*$  is at the boundary of C).

For the Euclidean projection, if  $y := \prod_{\mathcal{C}} [x] = \arg \min_{w \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2} \|w - x\|^2$ , then, using the optimal conditions above,  $\forall w \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\langle x-y, w-y \rangle \leq 0$$

i.e. the angle between the rays  $y \to x$  and  $y \to w$  for all  $w \in C$  is greater than 90°.

Q: For convex set C, if  $w^* = \arg \min_{w \in C} f(w)$ , what is  $\prod_{\mathcal{C}} [w^*]$ ? Ans:  $w^*$  since  $w^* \in C$ 

#### **Dealing with Constrained Domains**

**Claim**: Projections onto a convex set are non-expansive operations i.e. for all  $x_1, x_2$ , if  $y_1 := \prod_{\mathcal{C}} [x_1]$  and  $y_2 := \prod_{\mathcal{C}} [x_2]$ , then,  $||y_1 - y_2|| \le ||x_1 - x_2||$ .

**Proof**: Recall from the last slide, that for the Euclidean projection,  $y = \prod_{\mathcal{C}} [x]$ ,  $\langle x - y, w - y \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence,

$$\langle x_1 - y_1, w - y_1 \rangle \le 0 \implies \langle x_1 - y_1, y_2 - y_1 \rangle \le 0$$
 (Set  $w = y_2$ )  
  $\langle x_2 - y_2, w - y_2 \rangle \le 0 \implies \langle x_2 - y_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \le 0$  (Set  $w = y_1$ )

Adding the two equations,

 $\implies \|y_1-y_2\| \le \|x_1-x_2\|$ 

# Projected GD for Smooth, Strongly-Convex Functions

Recall projected GD:  $w_{k+1} = \prod_{\mathcal{C}} [w_k - \eta \nabla f(w_k)]$ . Using that  $w^* = \prod_{\mathcal{C}} [w^* - \eta \nabla f(w^*)]$  for any  $\eta$  (Need to prove in Assignment 2) and using the non-expansiveness of projections with  $x_1 = w^* - \eta \nabla f(w^*)$ ,  $x_2 = w_k - \eta \nabla f(w_k)$ ,  $y_1 = w^*$ ,  $y_2 = w_{k+1}$ ,  $\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le \|w_k - \eta \nabla f(w_k) - w^* + \eta \nabla f(w^*)\|^2$ 

With this change, the proof proceeds as before. In particular,

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\eta \langle 
abla f(w_k) - 
abla f(w^*), w_k - w^* 
angle + \eta^2 \|
abla f(w_k) - 
abla f(w^*)\|^2$$

Using the optimality condition for  $w^*$ , smoothness and strong-convexity (similar to Lecture 4), we can derive the same linear rate (Need to prove in Assignment 2)

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le \exp(-T/\kappa) \|w_0 - w^*\|^2$$

We can also redo the proof for smooth, convex functions and get the same  $O(1/\tau)$  convergence rate. Hence, projected GD is a good option for minimizing convex functions over convex sets when the projection operation is computationally cheap.

# Questions?

#### **Nesterov Acceleration**

**Gradient Descent**:  $w_{k+1} = GD(w_k)$  where GD is a function such that  $GD(w) := w - \eta \nabla f(w)$ . **Nesterov Acceleration**:  $w_{k+1} = GD(w_k + \beta_k(w_k - w_{k-1}))$  for  $\beta_k \ge 0$  to be determined. Hence,

$$w_{k+1} = [w_k + \beta_k(w_k - w_{k-1})] - \eta \nabla f(w_k + \beta_k(w_k - w_{k-1}))$$

i.e. Nesterov acceleration can be interpreted as doing GD on "extrapolated" points where  $\beta_k$  can be interpreted as the "momentum" in the previous direction  $(w_k - w_{k-1})$ .

If we define sequence  $v_k := w_k + \beta_k (w_k - w_{k-1})$ , and initialize  $w_0 = v_0$ , then,

$$v_k = w_k + \beta_k (w_k - w_{k-1})$$
;  $w_{k+1} = v_k - \eta \nabla f(v_k)$  (1)

Rewriting the above expression only in terms of  $v_k$ ,

$$v_{k+1} = v_k - \eta_k \nabla f(v_k) + \beta_{k+1} [v_k - v_{k-1}] - \eta \beta_{k+1} [\nabla f(v_k) - \nabla f(v_{k-1})]$$

i.e. Nesterov acceleration can be interpreted as moving along a combination of three directions – the gradient direction  $\nabla f(v_k)$ , the momentum direction for the iterates  $[v_k - v_{k-1}]$  and the momentum direction for the gradients  $[\nabla f(v_k) - \nabla f(v_{k-1})]$ .

In order to analyze the convergence of Nesterov acceleration for smooth, convex functions, define  $d_k := \beta_k(w_k - w_{k-1})$ , set  $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$  and define  $g_k := -\frac{1}{L}\nabla f(w_k + d_k)$ . For  $k \ge 1$  (for simplicity, set  $w_1 = w_0$ ),

$$w_{k+1} = [w_k + \beta_k (w_k - w_{k-1})] - \eta \nabla f(w_k + \beta_k (w_k - w_{k-1}))$$
  
$$\implies w_{k+1} = w_k + d_k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(w_k + d_k) = w_k + d_k + g_k.$$

In order to set the momentum parameter  $\beta_k$ , we define a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^T$  such that,

$$\lambda_0 = 0$$
 ;  $\lambda_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{k-1}^2}}{2}$  ;  $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda_k - 1}{\lambda_{k+1}}$  (2)

**Claim**: For *L*-smooth, convex functions, Nesterov acceleration with  $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $\beta_k$  set according to Eq. (2) and  $T \ge \frac{\sqrt{2L} ||w_1 - w^*||}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$  iterations to obtain point  $w_{T+1}$  that is  $\epsilon$ -suboptimal in the sense that  $f(w_{T+1}) \le f(w^*) + \epsilon$ .

Hence, Nesterov acceleration is optimal for minimizing the class of smooth, convex functions.

In order to prove the claim, we will need the following lemma: **Lemma**: When using Nesterov acceleration with  $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$ , for any vector y,  $f(w_{k+1}) - f(y) \leq \langle \nabla f(w_k + d_k), w_k + d_k - y \rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \| \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \|^2$ .

**Proof**: Using *L*-smoothness, since Nesterov acceleration is equivalent to GD on  $w_k + d_k$ ,

$$\begin{split} f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k + d_k) &\leq \langle \nabla f(w_k + d_k), w_{k+1} - w_k - d_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|w_{k+1} - w_k - d_k\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{L} \langle \nabla f(w_k + d_k), \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(w_k + d_k)\|^2 \\ \implies f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k + d_k) &\leq \frac{-1}{2L} \|\nabla f(w_k + d_k)\|^2 \\ \implies f(w_{k+1}) - f(y) &\leq f(w_k + d_k) - f(y) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(w_k + d_k)\|^2 \end{split}$$

Using convexity:  $f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle$  with  $x = w_k + d_k$  and y = y

$$\implies f(w_{k+1}) - f(y) \le \langle \nabla f(w_k + d_k), w_k + d_k - y \rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \left\| \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \right\|^2$$
(3)

9

Using the lemma with 
$$y = w^*$$
, with  $f^* := f(w^*)$  and define  $\Delta_k := f(w_k) - f^*$ ,  
 $\Delta_{k+1} = f(w_{k+1}) - f^* \le \langle \nabla f(w_k + d_k), w_k + d_k - w^* \rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \| \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \|^2$   
 $\le -\frac{L}{2} \left[ 2 \left\langle \frac{-\nabla f(w_k + d_k)}{L}, (w_k - w^*) + d_k \right\rangle + \frac{1}{L^2} \| \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \|^2 \right]$   
 $\implies \Delta_{k+1} \le -\frac{L}{2} \left[ 2 \langle g_k, w_k - w^* + d_k \rangle + \|g_k\|^2 \right]$ 
(4)

Using the lemma with  $y = w_k$ ,

L

$$\begin{aligned} \left[f(w_{k+1}) - f^*\right] &- \left[f(w_k) - f^*\right] \le \left\langle \nabla f(w_k + d_k), d_k \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \left\| \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \right\|^2 \\ \implies \Delta_{k+1} - \Delta_k \le -\frac{L}{2} \left[ 2 \left\langle \frac{-\nabla f(w_k + d_k)}{L}, d_k \right\rangle + \frac{1}{L^2} \left\| \nabla f(w_k + d_k) \right\|^2 \right] \\ \implies \Delta_{k+1} - \Delta_k \le -\frac{L}{2} \left[ 2 \langle g_k, d_k \rangle + \left\| g_k \right\|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$
(5)

For  $\lambda_k > 1$ ,

$$(\lambda_k - 1) \operatorname{Eq.} (5) + \operatorname{Eq.} (4) \le -\frac{L}{2} \left[ (\lambda_k - 1) \left[ 2 \langle g_k, d_k \rangle + \|g_k\|^2 \right] + \left[ 2 \langle g_k, w_k - w^* + d_k \rangle + \|g_k\|^2 \right] \right]$$

Let us first simplify the RHS,

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \left( \lambda_{k} - 1 \right) \left[ 2 \langle g_{k}, d_{k} \rangle + \|g_{k}\|^{2} \right] + \left[ 2 \langle g_{k}, w_{k} - w^{*} + d_{k} \rangle + \|g_{k}\|^{2} \right] \right] \\ &= \lambda_{k} \left[ 2 \langle g_{k}, d_{k} \rangle + \|g_{k}\|^{2} \right] - \left[ 2 \langle g_{k}, d_{k} \rangle + \|g_{k}\|^{2} - 2 \langle g_{k}, w_{k} - w^{*} + d_{k} \rangle - \|g_{k}\|^{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} \left[ \lambda_{k}^{2} \left( 2 \langle g_{k}, d_{k} \rangle + \|g_{k}\|^{2} \right) + 2\lambda_{k} \langle g_{k}, w_{k} - w^{*} \rangle \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} \left[ \|w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k} d_{k} + \lambda_{k} g_{k}\|^{2} - \|w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k} d_{k}\|^{2} \right] \end{split}$$

Putting everything together,

$$\lambda_{k} \left[ (\lambda_{k} - 1) \operatorname{Eq.} (5) + \operatorname{Eq.} (4) \right] \leq \frac{L}{2} \left[ \|w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k} d_{k}\|^{2} - \|w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k} d_{k} + \lambda_{k} g_{k}\|^{2} \right]$$
(6)

Now let us simplify the LHS of Eq. (6),

 $\lambda_{k} [(\lambda_{k} - 1) \operatorname{Eq.} (5) + \operatorname{Eq.} (4)] = \lambda_{k} [(\lambda_{k} - 1) (\Delta_{k+1} - \Delta_{k}) + \Delta_{k+1}] = \lambda_{k}^{2} \Delta_{k+1} - (\lambda_{k}^{2} - \lambda_{k}) \Delta_{k}$ 

Putting everything together,

$$\lambda_k^2 \Delta_{k+1} - \left(\lambda_k^2 - \lambda_k\right) \Delta_k \leq \frac{L}{2} \left[ \left\| w_k - w^* + \lambda_k d_k \right\|^2 - \left\| w_k - w^* + \lambda_k d_k + \lambda_k g_k \right\|^2 \right]$$

We wish to sum from k = 1 to T, and telescope the terms. For the RHS, we want that,

$$w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k}d_{k} + \lambda_{k}g_{k} = w_{k+1} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k+1}d_{k+1} = w_{k} + d_{k} + g_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k+1}d_{k+1}$$
$$= w_{k} + d_{k} + g_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}[w_{k+1} - w_{k}]$$
$$= w_{k} + d_{k} + g_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}[w_{k} + d_{k} + g_{k} - w_{k}]$$
$$\implies \text{We want that: } w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k}(d_{k} + g_{k}) = w_{k} - w^{*} + (1 + \lambda_{k+1}\beta_{k+1})[d_{k} + g_{k}]$$

This can be achieved if  $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda_k - 1}{\lambda_{k+1}}$ .

Recall that:  $\lambda_k^2 \Delta_{k+1} - (\lambda_k^2 - \lambda_k) \Delta_k \leq \frac{L}{2} \left[ \|w_k - w^* + \lambda_k d_k\|^2 - \|w_k - w^* + \lambda_k d_k + \lambda_k g_k\|^2 \right]$ . In order to telescope the LHS, we want that,

$$\lambda_{k-1}^2 = \lambda_k^2 - \lambda_k \implies \lambda_k = rac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{k-1}^2}}{2}$$

By using the sequence  $\lambda_k = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\lambda_{k-1}^2}}{2}$  and setting  $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda_k - 1}{\lambda_{k+1}}$ ,

$$\lambda_{k}^{2} \Delta_{k+1} - \lambda_{k-1}^{2} \Delta_{k} \leq \frac{L}{2} \left[ \left\| w_{k} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k} d_{k} \right\|^{2} - \left\| w_{k+1} - w^{*} + \lambda_{k+1} d_{k+1} \right\|^{2} \right]$$

Summing from k = 1 to T, since  $\lambda_0 = 0$ 

$$\lambda_T^2 \Delta_{T+1} \le \frac{L}{2} \left[ \|w_1 - w^* + \lambda_1 d_1\|^2 - \|w_{T+1} - w^* + \lambda_{T+1} d_{T+1}\|^2 \right]$$
  
$$\le \frac{L}{2} \|w_1 - w^*\|^2 \quad (\text{Since } w_0 = w_1 \implies d_1 = \beta_1 (w_1 - w_0) = 0)$$
  
$$\alpha_{T+1} = f(w_{T+1}) - f^* \le \frac{L}{2\lambda_T^2} \|w_1 - w^*\|^2 \quad (7)$$

Recall that  $f(w_{T+1}) - f^* \leq \frac{L}{2\lambda_T^2} ||w_1 - w^*||^2$ . Let us prove that  $\lambda_k \geq \frac{k}{2}$  by induction. **Base case**: k = 1,  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\lambda_0^2}}{2} = 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . **Inductive step**: Assuming the statement is true for k - 1 i.e.  $\lambda_{k-1} \geq \frac{k-1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda_k = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\lambda_{k-1}^2}}{2} = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+(k-1)^2}}{2} \geq \frac{k}{2}$ .

Hence,  $\lambda_k \geq \frac{k}{2}$  and  $\lambda_T \geq \frac{T}{2}$ . Hence,

$$f(w_{T+1}) - f^* \leq rac{2L \|w_1 - w^*\|^2}{T^2}$$

Hence, Nesterov acceleration with  $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$  and a carefully engineered  $\beta_k$  sequence can obtain the accelerated  $O\left(\frac{1}{T^2}\right)$  rate for smooth, convex functions.

Nesterov acceleration also results in the accelerated  $O(\sqrt{\kappa}\log(1/\epsilon))$  rate for smooth, strongly-convex functions.

In order to obtain this rate, the algorithm requires the following parameter settings:  $\eta = \frac{1}{I}$  and,

$$eta_k = rac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}$$

Refer to Bubeck, 3.7.1 for the analysis.

Compared to the smooth, convex setting for which  $\beta_k$  decreases, the strongly-convex setting requires a constant  $\beta_k$  in order to attain the accelerated rate.

Compared to GD, for smooth, strongly-convex functions, Nesterov acceleration requires knowledge of  $\kappa$  (and hence  $\mu$ ) in order to set  $\beta_k$ .

Unlike estimating L, estimating  $\mu$  is difficult, and misestimating it can result in bad empirical performance. Common trick that results in decent performance is to use the convex parameters (with the decreasing  $\beta_k$ ) with restarts.

# Questions?