CMPT 210: Probability and Computing

Lecture 11

Sharan Vaswani

February 13, 2024

Verifying Matrix Multiplication

As an example, let us focus on A, B being binary 2×2 matrices.

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ then $C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Objective: Verify whether a matrix multiplication operation is correct.

Trivial way: Do the matrix multiplication ourselves, and verify it using $O(n^3)$ (or $O(n^{2.373})$) operations.

Frievald's Algorithm: Randomized algorithm to verify matrix multiplication with high probability in $O(n^2)$ time.

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

Q: For $n \times n$ matrices A, B and D, is $D = AB$?

Algorithm:

1. Generate a random n-bit vector x, by making each bit x_i either 0 or 1 *independently* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. E.g, for $n = 2$, toss a fair coin independently twice with the scheme – H is 0 and T is 1). If we get HT, then set $x = [0\,;\,1]$.
2. Compute $t = Bx$ and $y = At = A(Bx)$ and $z = Dx$.
3. Output "yes" if $y = z$ (all entries need to be equal), else output "no".

Computational complexity: Step 1 can be done in $O(n)$ time. Step 2 requires 3 matrix vector multiplications and can be done in $O(n^2)$ time. Step 3 requires comparing two n-dimensional vectors and can be done in $O(n)$ time. Hence, the total computational complexity is $O(n^2)$.

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

Let us run the algorithm on an example. Suppose we have generated $x = [1\,;\,0]$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Bx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad y = A\,(Bx) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad z = Dx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the algorithm will correctly output "no" since $D \neq AB$.

Q: Suppose we have generated $x = [0\,;\,0]$. What is y and z? Ans: $y = [0\,;\,0]$ and $z = [0\,;\,0]$.

In this case, $y = z$ and the algorithm will incorrectly output "yes" even though $D \neq AB$.

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

Let us run the algorithm on an example. Suppose we have generated $x = [1\,;\,0]$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Bx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad y = A\,(Bx) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad z = Cx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the algorithm will correctly output "yes" since $C = AB$.

Q: Suppose we have generated $x = [0\,;\,1]$. What is y and z? Ans: $y = [1\,;\,0]$ and $z = [1\,;\,0]$.

In this case again, $y = z$ and the algorithm will correctly output "yes".

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

Let us analyze the algorithm for general matrix multiplication.

Case (i): If $D = AB$, does the algorithm always output "yes"? Yes! Since $D = AB$, for any vector x, $Dx = ABx$.

Case (ii) If $D \neq AB$, does the algorithm always output "no"?

Claim: For any input matrices A, B, D if $D \neq AB$, then the (Basic) Frievald's algorithm will output "no" with probability $\geq \frac{1}{2}$.

	Yes	No
$D = AB$	1	0
$D \neq AB$	$< \frac{1}{2}$	$\geq \frac{1}{2}$

Table 1: Probabilities for Basic Frievalds Algorithm

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

Proof: If $D \neq AB$, we wish to compute the probability that algorithm outputs "yes" and prove that it less than $\frac{1}{2}$.
Define $E := (AB - D)$ and $r := Ex = (AB - D)x = y - z$. If $D \neq AB$, then $\exists(i, j)$ s.t. $E_{i,j} \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr[\text{Algorithm outputs "yes"}] &= \Pr[y = z] = \Pr[r = \mathbf{0}] \\
&= \Pr[(r_1 = 0) \cap (r_2 = 0) \cap \ldots \cap (r_i = 0) \cap \ldots] \\
&= \Pr[(r_i = 0)] \Pr[(r_1 = 0) \cap (r_2 = 0) \cap \ldots \cap (r_n = 0) | r_i = 0] \\
&\qquad \text{(By def. of conditional probability)} \\
\implies \Pr[\text{Algorithm outputs "yes"}] &\leq \Pr[r_i = 0] \qquad \text{(Probabilities are in } [0, 1])
\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, on the next slide, we will prove that $\Pr[r_i = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

$$r_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{i,k} x_k = E_{i,j} x_j + \sum_{k \neq j} E_{i,k} x_k = E_{i,j} x_j + \omega \qquad (\omega := \textstyle\sum_{k \neq j} E_{i,k} x_k)$$

$$\Pr[r_i = 0] = \Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega = 0] \Pr[\omega = 0] + \Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega \neq 0] \Pr[\omega \neq 0]$$

(By the law of total probability)

$$\Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega = 0] = \Pr[x_j = 0] = \frac{1}{2} \qquad (\text{Since } E_{i,j} \neq 0 \text{ and } \Pr[x_j = 1] = \tfrac{1}{2})$$

$$\Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega \neq 0] = \Pr[(x_j = 1) \cap E_{i,j} = -\omega] = \Pr[(x_j = 1)] \Pr[E_{i,j} = -\omega | x_j = 1]$$

(By def. of conditional probability)

$$\implies \Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega \neq 0] \leq \Pr[(x_j = 1)] = \frac{1}{2} \qquad (\text{Probabilities are in } [0,1], \Pr[x_j = 1] = \tfrac{1}{2})$$

$$\implies \Pr[r_i = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega \neq 0] = \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega = 0] + \frac{1}{2} [1 - \Pr[\omega = 0]] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$(\Pr[E^c] = 1 - \Pr[E])$

$$\implies \Pr[\text{Algorithm outputs ``yes''}] \leq \Pr[r_i = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

(Basic) Frievald's Algorithm

Hence, if $D \neq AB$, the Algorithm outputs "yes" with probability $\leq \frac{1}{2} \implies$ the Algorithm outputs "no" with probability $\geq \frac{1}{2}$.

In the worst case, the algorithm can be incorrect half the time! We promised the algorithm would return the correct answer with "high" probability close to 1.

A common trick in randomized algorithms is to have m independent trials of an algorithm and aggregate the answer in some way, reducing the probability of error, thus *amplifying the probability of success*.

Questions?